

Representations of The Coordinate Ring of $GL_q(3)$

Vahid Karimipour

Department of Physics , Sharif University of Technology

P.O.Box 11365-9161 Tehran, Iran

Institute for studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics

P.O.Box 19395-1795 Tehran, Iran

Email. Vahidka@irearn.bitnet.

Abstract

It is shown that the finite dimensional irreducible representations of the quantum matrix algebra $M_q(3)$ (the coordinate ring of $GL_q(3)$) exist only when q is a root of unity ($q^p = 1$). The dimensions of these representations can only be one of the following values: p^3 , $\frac{p^3}{2}$, $\frac{p^3}{4}$, or $\frac{p^3}{8}$. The topology of the space of states ranges between two extremes , from a 3-dimensional torus $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ (which may be thought of as a generalization of the cyclic representation) to a 3-dimensional cube $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

I. Introduction

Currently there is a rather well developed theory of representation [1-5] for quantized universal enveloping algebras [6-10]. However the representations of the dual objects, that is the quantization of the algebra of functions on the group, or their coordinate rings, has not been studied systematically, except for the case of $GL_q(2)$ [11]. In this paper we extend these studies to the next simplest case, that is the coordinate ring of $GL_q(3)$. Why such a problem is interesting becomes clear when one notes that in the case of a quantised enveloping algebra $U_q(g)$, one has a rather natural decomposition of the generators of $U_q(g)$ into the set of Cartan generators, q -analogue of simple roots, and q -analogue of positive non-simple roots which are defined via q -adjoint action [2]. Thus in any $U_q(g)$ module V one needs to define only the action of simple roots and roots on V . For the case of quantum matrix groups, such a decomposition does not exist. What we will do in this paper is to give such a decomposition for $M_q(3)$ and then construct its finite dimensional representations. These representations exist only when q is a root of unity and depend on a number of parameters which determine the topology of the space of states. Depending on these parameters the topology can range between two extremes, from $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ to $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In section II) we briefly discuss the structure of $M_q(3)$. In section III) we introduce a particular subalgebra of $M_q(3)$ and construct its finite dimensional representations in section IV). We then use this subalgebra to construct the representations of $M_q(3)$ in section V. At the end of the paper we prove that the only finite dimensional irreducible representations of $M_q(3)$ are of dimension p^3 , $\frac{p^3}{2}$, $\frac{p^3}{4}$ or $\frac{p^3}{8}$.

II. The Structure of $GL_q(3)$

The quantum Matrix algebra $M_q(3)$ is generated by the elements of a matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & k \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

subject to the relations [8]:

$$R T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1 R \quad (2)$$

where R is the solution of the Yang Baxter equation corresponding to $SL_q(3)$ [7]. The

relations obtained from (2) can be expressed neatly in the following form : For any 2×2 submatrix (i.e : like the one formed by the elements b, c, e , and f) the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} bc &= q cb & ef &= q fe \\ be &= q eb & cf &= q fc \\ ec &= ce & bf - fb &= (q - q^{-1}) ce \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

One can also prove the following more general type of relations

$$\begin{aligned} bf^n - f^n b &= q^{-1}(q^{2n} - 1)f^{n-1}ce \\ fb^n - b^n f &= q(q^{-2n} - 1)b^{n-1}ce \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

by induction from (3). **Remark:**

These relations are only a small part of the relations obtained from (2). All the other relations can be simply read by looking at other submatrices. (i.e: $df = qfd$, $dg = qgd$, $dk - kd = (q - q^{-1})fg$, etc). Hereafter when we refer to (3,4) we mean all the relations of which (3 and 4) are samples. Thus this algebra has many $GL_q(2)$ subalgebras (i.e: the set of elements a, c, d , and f or d, f, g and k generate two such $GL_q(2)$ subalgebra). Obviously these are not Hopf subalgebras.

$GL_q(3)$ has also a quantum determinant D which is central:

$$D = a\Delta_a - qb\Delta_b + q^2c\Delta_c \tag{5}$$

where Δ_a , Δ_b and Δ_c are the quantum cofactors of the elements a , b and c respectively :

$$\Delta_a = ek - qfh \quad \Delta_b = dk - qfg \quad \Delta_c = dh - qeg \tag{6}$$

One can also see from (3) that the elements c , e and g commute with each other .

III. A Good Subalgebra of $GL_q(3)$

It is much simpler to construct first the representations of a subalgebra of $M_q(3)$. This subalgebra has the nice feature that while its commutation relations are much simpler than those of $M_q(3)$ one can use its representations in a very direct way to construct

$M_q(3)$ representations. In this section we introduce this subalgebra which we will denote by A and study in some detail its structure . This subalgebra is generated by all the elements of the matrix T (except a and k) plus two quantum cofactors Δ_k , Δ_a and the quantum determinant D . Hereafter we denote Δ_a and Δ_k by Δ and Δ' respectively . Clearly the element Δ being the q-determinant of the submatrix $\begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ h & k \end{pmatrix}$ commutes with the elements e, f, h and k . A similar statement holds true for Δ' . (i.e: Δ' commutes with a, b, d and e) . Using (3) its straightforward to verify the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} b\Delta &= q\Delta b & c\Delta &= q\Delta c \\ d\Delta &= q\Delta d & g\Delta &= q\Delta g \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c\Delta' &= q^{-1}\Delta'c & g\Delta' &= q^{-1}\Delta'g \\ f\Delta' &= q^{-1}\Delta'f & h\Delta' &= q^{-1}\Delta'h \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Consider an A-Module V . The above relations in conjunction with (3) show that if by $|\lambda, \mu, \nu\rangle$ we denotes common eigenvectors of c, e , and g with eigenvalues λ, μ and ν respectively, then :

$$\begin{aligned} f|\lambda, \mu, \nu\rangle &\propto |q\lambda, q\mu, \nu\rangle & (f, q &\longrightarrow b, q^{-1}) \\ h|\lambda, \mu, \nu\rangle &\propto |\lambda, q\mu, q\nu\rangle & (h, q &\longrightarrow d, q^{-1}) \\ \Delta|\lambda, \mu, \nu\rangle &\propto |q\lambda, \mu, q\nu\rangle & (\Delta, q &\longrightarrow \Delta', q^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore in any A-module V the elements f , h , and Δ (resp. b , d , and Δ') act as raising ,(resp. lowering) operators in the directions of eigenvalues of (c, e) (e, g) and (c, g) respectively. Note that the states $a|\lambda, \mu, \nu\rangle$ and $k|\lambda, \mu, \nu\rangle$ are no longer eigenstates of c, e , and g . Stressing the analogy with representations of quantum algebras , we note that the operator Δ rather than k , play the role of q-analogue of positive root. The q-analogue of simple roots being f , and h ,. The following lemma is needed for construction of A-modules.

Lemma 1:

- i) $bf^l = f^lb + q^{-1}(q^{2l} - 1)f^{l-1}ec$
- ii) $dh^m = h^md + q^{-1}(q^{2m} - 1)h^{m-1}eg$
- iii) $a\Delta = q^2\Delta a + (1 - q^2)D$

$$\text{iv)} \Delta' \Delta = q^2 \Delta \Delta' + (1 - q^2) De$$

Where D is the quantum determinant of the matrix T (see (5)).

Proof

: Only iii) and iv) need proofs. For iii) we note that passing a through Δ and using the commutation relations (3) we find

$$a\Delta = \Delta a + (q - q^{-1})(ecg + bdk - qfbg - qcdh)$$

from (3) we have:

$$bdk - qfbg = bdk - q(bf - (q - q^{-1})ce)g = b\Delta_b + (q^2 - 1)ceg$$

therefore the sum of the terms in the bracket is equal to $b\Delta_b - qc\Delta_c$ and the above equation is transformed to the following form:

$$a\Delta = \Delta a + (q - q^{-1})(b\Delta_b - qc\Delta_c)$$

By using the expression of the quantum determinant (5) we finally arrive at (iii). The proof of iv) is obtained by induction from iii). QED.

Corollary:

$$\text{i)} a\Delta^n = q^{2n}\Delta^n a + (1 - q^{2n})D\Delta^{n-1}$$

$$\text{ii)} \Delta' \Delta^n = q^{2n}\Delta^n \Delta' + (1 - q^{2n})De\Delta^{n-1}$$

These formulas are proved by induction from (10) and (11) .

IV. Finite Dimensional Representations of A

We call those A modules in which one or more of the generators identically vanish, trivial modules . In this cases the representation reduces to that of a simpler algebra (a reduction of $M_q(3)$ obtained by setting that element equal to 0) Clearly the interesting representations are nontrivial ones to which we restrict ourselves in the rest of this paper. We present the condition of nontriviality of A modules in the following: (see also [11])

Proposition:

An A Module V is trivial if one of the following sets contain nonzero elements:

$$K_c = \{v \in V \mid cv = 0\}$$

$$K_e = \{v \in V \mid ev = 0\}$$

$$K_g = \{v \in V \mid gv = 0\}$$

Proof:

Without loss of generality, let's assume that $K_c \neq \{0\}$, then since K_c is a subspace of V , we can choose a basis for it like: $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$. From the relations (3) we see that $fK_c, hK_c, \Delta K_c, gK_c, eK_c, bK_c, dK_c$ and $\Delta'K_c$ are all subspaces of K_c . Therefore the vectors $\{e_i\}$ transform among themselves under the action of A and hence K_c is an invariant subspace of V . Since the representation is irreducible $K_c = V$. Therefore $cK_c = cV = 0$ and the representation is trivial. QED.

Hereafter we assume that $K_c = K_e = K_g = \{0\}$. We first state and prove the following:

Proposition 4 :

Any nontrivial A -Module is also a nontrivial $M_q(3)$ module and vice versa. versa.

Proof:

Only the first part of the proposition needs a proof. Let W be a nontrivial A module. This means among other things that $K_e = \{0\}$. To prove that W is also an $M_q(3)$ module we need to show that the actions of a and k are defined on W . We choose as the basis of W the set of common eigenvectors of $c, e,$ and g :

$$B_W = \{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad c v_i = \lambda_i v_i, \quad e v_i = \mu_i v_i, \quad g v_i = \nu_i v_i \}$$

Since W is an A module the action of Δ and Δ' on W are defined. Therefore

$$\Delta v_i = \sum_j \Delta_{ij} v_j \tag{10}$$

$$\Delta' v_i = \sum_j \Delta'_{ij} v_j$$

since $\Delta' = ae - qbd$ we conclude from (10-b) that:

$$av_i = \frac{1}{\mu_i} \left(\sum_j \Delta'_{ij} v_j + qbd v_i \right) \tag{11}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mu_i \neq 0$ due to the nontriviality of W , (proposition 3). We also note that thanks to the commutation relations (3) $\Delta = ek - qfh$ has an equivalent description, namely $\Delta = ke - q^{-1}fh$. Hence we obtain from (10-a):

$$kv_i = \frac{1}{\mu_i} \left(\sum_j \Delta_{ij} v_j + q^{-1}fh v_i \right) \tag{12}$$

Equations (11) and (12) show that the actions of a and k are defined on W and hence W is an $M_q(3)$ module. QED.

Proposition 5:

Finite dimensional representations of A exist only when q is a root of unity (see also [11]).

Proof:

Suppose that q is not a root of unity . Let v_0 be a common eigenvector of c , e and g .

$$c v_0 = \lambda v_0 \quad e v_0 = \mu v_0 \quad g v_0 = \nu v_0$$

Consider the following string of states: $v_l = f^l v_0$. One can see that these states are eigenvectors of c , e and g .

$$c v_l = \lambda q^l v_l \quad e v_l = \mu q^l v_l \quad g v_l = \nu v_l$$

Since all these eigenvalues are different, to have finite dimensional representations one must have $f^n v_0 = 0$ for some n while all the vectors v_l for $l < n$ are independent. Consider now the string of states $b^{l'} u_0$ where $u_0 = v_{n-1}$. Again there must exist a positive integer n' such that $b^{n'} u_0 = 0$ and $b^{n'-1} u_0 \neq 0$. Then one will have

$$0 = f b^{n'} u_0 = q(q^{-2n'} - 1) b^{n'-1} c e u_0 = q(q^{-2n'} - 1) \lambda \mu q^{2(n-1)} b^{n'-1} u_0$$

which means that q must be a root of unity. Hereafter we assume that q is a root of unity : $q^p = 1$. QED.

We now construct the finite dimensional representations of A . First we note that when $q^p = 1$ then all the elements f^p , h^p , Δ^p , b^p , d^p and Δ'^p are central. Therefore using Schur's Lemma we set them equal to η_f , η_h , η_Δ , η_b , η_d and $\eta_{\Delta'}$ respectively. As we will see various kinds of representations depend on the values of these parameters. We denote the vector v_0 defined in (13) by $|0\rangle \equiv |0, 0, 0\rangle$ and consider the 3-dimentional cube of states :

$$W = \{|l, m, n\rangle = f^l h^m \Delta^n |0\rangle \quad 0 \leq l, m, n\} \quad (13)$$

These vectors are all eigenvectors of c , g and e .

$$\begin{aligned} c|l, m, n\rangle &= q^{l+n} \lambda |l, m, n\rangle \\ e|l, m, n\rangle &= q^{l+m} \mu |l, m, n\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$g|l, m, n \rangle = q^{m+n} \nu |l, m, n \rangle$$

We will show that W spans an invariant submodule of V . Obviously we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f|l, m, n \rangle &= |l+1, m, n \rangle & f|p-1, m, n \rangle &= \eta_f |0, m, n \rangle \\ h|l, m, n \rangle &= |l, m+1, n \rangle & h|l, p-1, n \rangle &= \eta_h |l, 0, n \rangle \\ \Delta|l, m, n \rangle &= |l, m, n+1 \rangle & \Delta|l, m, p-1 \rangle &= \eta_\Delta |l, m, 0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now we define the action of b , d and Δ' on $|0 \rangle$ as follows:

$$b|0 \rangle = \alpha_0 |p-1, 0, 0 \rangle \quad d|0 \rangle = \beta_0 |0, p-1, 0 \rangle \quad \Delta'|0 \rangle = \gamma_0 |0, 0, p-1 \rangle \quad (16)$$

Then we have:

Lemma :

- i) $b|0, m, n \rangle = q^{m+n} \alpha_0 |p-1, m, n \rangle$
- ii) $d|l, 0, n \rangle = q^{l+n} \beta_0 |l, p-1, n \rangle$
- iii) $\Delta'|l, m, 0 \rangle = q^{l+m} \gamma_0 |l, m, p-1 \rangle$

Proof :

Using (3,17) the verification is straightforward.

Lemma :

- i) $b|l, m, n \rangle = q^{m+n} (\alpha_0 \eta_f + q^{-1}(q^{2l} - 1) \lambda \mu) |l-1, m, n \rangle$
- ii) $d|l, m, n \rangle = q^{l+n} (\beta_0 \eta_h + q^{-1}(q^{2m} - 1) \mu \nu) |l, m-1, n \rangle$
- iii) $\Delta'|l, m, n \rangle = q^{l+m} (q^{2n} \gamma_0 \eta_\Delta + (1 - q^{2n}) \mu \eta) |l, m, n-1 \rangle$

Proof : We only prove part i). The other two parts are similarly verified. Passing b through f^l and using part i) of Lemma 1 we have :

$$\begin{aligned} b|l, m, n \rangle &= b f^l h^m \Delta^n |0 \rangle = (f^l b + q^{-1}(q^{2l} - 1) \lambda \mu) h^m \Delta^n |0 \rangle \\ &= f^l b |0, m, n \rangle + q^{-1}(q^{2l} - 1) q^{m+n} \lambda \mu |0, m, n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using part i) of the previous lemma we arrive at the desired result:

$$b|l, m, n \rangle = q^{n+m} f^l h^m \Delta^n b |0 \rangle + q^{-1}(q^{2l} - 1) q^{n+m} f^{l-1} h^m \Delta^n \lambda \mu |0 \rangle$$

V. Finite Dimensional Representations of $M_q(3)$

We now use proposition 4 and define the actions of a and k on W . Thanks to the commutation relations (3) and the definition of states (14) one only requires to find the action of a and k on the state $|0\rangle \equiv |0, 0, 0\rangle$. To calculate $k|0\rangle$ we note that Δ has an equivalent expression namely $\Delta = ke - q^{-1}fh$. Therefore

$$|0, 0, 1\rangle = \Delta|0\rangle = (ke - q^{-1}fh)|0\rangle = \mu k|0\rangle - q^{-1}|1, 1, 0\rangle$$

from which we find :

$$k|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\mu}(|0, 0, 1\rangle + q^{-1}|1, 1, 0\rangle) \quad (17)$$

Similarly we note that :

$$\Delta'|0\rangle = \gamma_0|0, 0, r-1\rangle = (ae - qbd)|0\rangle = \mu a|0\rangle - q\alpha_0\beta_0|r-1, r-1, 0\rangle$$

from which we find :

$$a|0\rangle = \gamma_0|0, 0, r-1\rangle + \alpha_0\beta_0|r-1, r-1, 0\rangle \quad (18)$$

Using the commutation relations one readily find the action of a and k on any other state $|l, m, n\rangle$ and can verify that equations (15-19) together with lemmas 6 and 7 define a finite dimensional representation of $M_q(3)$. The action of the operators is shown in fig.(1). The operators f, h , and Δ (b, d , and Δ') act as raising (Lowering) operators in the directions l, m , and n respectively. Each state in this cube is common eigenvector of c, e and g .

Note that the parameters α_0, β_0 , and γ_0 are not independent of the parameters η_f, η_h and $\eta_{\Delta'}$. Their relation is given in the appendix.

We conclude this paper with a proposition which contains our main result.

Proposition 8 :

The only finite dimensional irreducible representations of $M_q(3)$ are of dimension p^3 (when p is odd) and dimensions p^3 , $\frac{p^3}{2}$, $\frac{p^3}{4}$ or $\frac{p^3}{8}$ (When p is even).

Proof:

Our style of proof is a generalization of the one given in [11] for the case of $M_q(2)$. Let V be an $M_q(3)$ module with dimension d . First consider the case where none of the pair of parameters (η_f, η_b) , (η_h, η_d) or $(\eta_{\Delta}, \eta_{\Delta'})$ are zero.

In this case d can not be greater than p^3 , otherwise the cube W (see figure 1) will span an invariant submodule which contradicts the irreducibility of V . The dimension of V can

not be less than p^3 either since this means that the lenght of one of the sides of the cube W (say in the l-direction) must be less than p . Therefore there must exist a positive integer $r < p$ such that $f^r|0, m, n \rangle = 0$ which means that $\eta_f|0, m, n \rangle = f^{p-r}f^r|0, m, n \rangle = 0$) contradicting the original assumption. The topology of the space of states in this case is a 3 dimesional torus ($S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$)

Now consider the case when one of the factors $\eta_f\eta_b$, $\eta_b\eta_d$ or $\eta_\Delta\eta_{\Delta'}$ say the first one is zero. There are two subcases to consider:

a) $\eta_f = \eta_b = 0$

If $d < P^3$ there must exists an integer like $r < p$ such that $f^r|0, m, n \rangle = 0$ and $f^l|0, m, n \rangle \neq 0$ for $l < r$. Now denote $f^r|0, m, n \rangle$ by u_0 and consider the string of states $b^{l'}u_0$.This string of states (for fixed m and n) must terminate somewhere. Thats there must exists an integer like r' such that $b^{r'}u_0 = 0$ and $b^{r'-1}u_0 \neq 0$ Therefore

$$0 = fb^{r'}u_0 = (b^{r'}f + q(q^{-2r'} - 1)b^{r'-1}ce)u_0 = q(q^{-2r'} - 1)\lambda\mu q^{2l+m+n}b^{r'-1}u_0$$

which means that $q^{2r'} = 1$ or $r' = \frac{p}{2}$. r' is in fact the lenght of the edge of the cube W in the l direction , the other two edges being of lenght p . The dimension of V is in this case $\frac{p^3}{2}$. The topology of the space of states is in this case $[0, 1] \times S^1 \times S^1$. One can easily convince himself that in this case irreducible representations with dimension greater than p^3 do not exist , but there exist irreducible representations with dimension equal to p^3 in which:

$$f|p-1, m, n \rangle = 0 \quad \quad b|0, m, n, \rangle = 0$$

b) $\eta_f = 0$ and $\eta_b \neq 0$ or vice versa.

This case can arise only when p is odd. Since for even p the vanishing of η_f implies the vanishing of η_b . In fact : from (A-1) we have $\eta_b = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1}(q^{2i} - 1) = 0$ For $\eta_f = 0$ and $\eta_b \neq 0$ we have:

$$f|p-1, m, n \rangle = 0 \quad \quad b|0, m, n \rangle = q^{m+n}\alpha_0|p-1, m, n \rangle$$

In this case the operator b traverses the full circle of states $|l, m, n \rangle$ (for fixed m and n) while f does not, and the representation is p^3 dimensional.The topology is still a 3 dimensional torus.

Repeating the above reasoning for the other cases where the other parameters ($\eta_b\eta_d$) and/or ($\eta_\Delta\eta_{\Delta'}$) vanish completes the proof of this proposition and verifies the statement claimed in the abstract conserning the topologies of the representations.

Acknowledgement : The author wishes to thank M. Khorami , A. Aghamoham-madi , and S. Shariati for enlightening discussions.

Appendix A : Relation Between The Parameters

i) The following relations exist between the parameters α_0, β_0 and γ_0 and the other parrameters of the representation.

$$\eta_b = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} x_i \quad \eta_d = \beta_0 \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} y_i \quad \eta_{\Delta'} = \gamma_0 \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} z_i \quad (19)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \alpha_0 \eta_f + q^{-1} \lambda \mu (q^{2i} - 1) \\ y_i &= \beta_0 \eta_h + q^{-1} \mu \nu (q^{2i} - 1) \\ z_i &= q^{2i} \gamma_0 \eta_{\Delta} + \mu \eta (1 - q^{2i}) \end{aligned}$$

We only prove the first relation , the other two relations are similar. Repeated appli-cation of part (i) of lemma 7 gives:

$$b^{p-1} |p-1, m, n \rangle = (q^{m+n})^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} x_i |0, m, n \rangle$$

Acting on both sides with b and using part (i) of lemma 6 and the fact that $b^p = \eta_b$ proves the assertion.

ii) The value of the parameter η can be determined by acting on any state $|l, m, n \rangle$ with D . After a straightforward calculation one obtains :

$$\eta = -q^{-3} \lambda \mu \nu + \left(\frac{\gamma_0 \eta_{\Delta}}{\mu} - \frac{\alpha_0 \beta_0 \eta_h \eta_f}{q \mu} + \frac{\nu \alpha_0 \eta_f}{q^2} + \frac{\lambda \beta_0 \eta_h}{q^2} \right) \quad (20)$$

References:

1. 1- G. Lusztig , Adv. Math. 70, 237 (1988); Contemp. Math. 82,59 (1989)
2. 2- M. Rosso , Commun. Math. Phys. 117, 581 (1988) ; 124, 307 (1989)
3. 3- R. P. Roche and D. Arnaudon , Lett. Math. Phys. 17, 295 (1989)
4. 4- C. De Concini and V. G. Kac , Preprint (1990)
5. 5- P. Sun and M. L. Ge , J. Phys. A 24, 3731 (1991)
6. 6- V. G. Drinfeld ; Proceeding of the ICM (Berkeley, Berkeley , CA, 1986) p.798
7. 7- M. Jimbo ; Lett. Math. Phys. 10, 63 (1985) ; 11,247 (1986)
8. 8- N. Reshetikhin , L. Takhtajan , and L. Faddeev ; Alg. Anal. 1, 1 78 (1989) in Russian
9. 9- S. Woronowics , Commun. Math. Phys. 111, 613 (1987); 130, 387(1990)
10. 10- Y. Manin CRM Preprint (1988)
11. 11- M. L. Ge, X. F. Liu , and C. P. Sun : J. Math. Phys. 38 (7) 1992

FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1: The states of the A module W .Depending on the value of the parameters $\eta_f \dots \eta_\Delta$ oposite sides of this cube can be identified independently.